arXiv:1401.4487v1 [math.AP] 17 Jan 2014

GROUND STATES FOR SCALAR FIELD EQUATIONS WITH ANISOTROPIC NONLOCAL NONLINEARITIES

ANTONIO IANNIZZOTTO, KANISHKA PERERA, AND MARCO SQUASSINA

Abstract. We consider a class of scalar field equations with anisotropic nonlocal nonlinearities. We obtain a suitable extension of the well-known compactness lemma of Benci and Cerami to this variable exponent setting, and use it to prove that the Palais-Smale condition holds at all level below a certain threshold. We deduce the existence of a ground state when the variable exponent slowly approaches the limit at infinity from below.

1. Introduction and main results

In the present paper we seek *ground states*, namely least energy solutions, for the following nonlocal anisotropic scalar field equation:

$$-\Delta u + V(x)\,u = \lambda\,\frac{|u|^{p(x)-2}\,u}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u(x)|^{p(x)}\,dx}, \qquad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $N \geq 2$, $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weight function satisfying

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} V(x) = V^\infty > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

and the variable exponent $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies

$$2 < p^- := \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} p(x) \leq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} p(x) =: p^+ < 2^*, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} p(x) = p^\infty \tag{1.4}$$

($2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$, $2^* = \infty$ if $N = 2$). Equation (1.1) is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the constrained C^1 functional $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$, where we denote for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$J(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)\,u^2\right)dx, \quad I(u) := \inf\left\{\gamma > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\gamma}\right|^{p(x)}\frac{dx}{p(x)} \leq 1\right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : I(u) = 1\right\},$$

namely $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solves (1.1) if and only if u is a critical point of $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ with $J(u) = \lambda$ (see Section 2 below for details). In particular, the ground states of (1.1) are the minimizers of $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and the corresponding energy level is

$$\lambda_1 := \inf_{u\in\mathcal{M}} J(u).$$

In other terms, (1.1) has a ground state if and only if λ_1 is attained.

The constant exponent case $p(x) \equiv p^\infty \in (2, 2^*)$ of equation (1.1) has been studied extensively for more than three decades (see Bahri and Lions [1] for a detailed account). Ground states are quite well understood in this case. Set for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$I^\infty(u) := \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u(x)|^{p^\infty}\frac{dx}{p^\infty}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^\infty := \left\{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : I^\infty(u) = 1\right\}.$$

The infimum

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_1 := \inf_{u\in\mathcal{M}^\infty} J(u)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 46B50, 74G65.

Key words and phrases. Scalar field equation, anisotropic nonlocal nonlinearity, variable exponent, loss of compactness, existence of ground state.

The third author was partially supported by 2009 MIUR project: "Variational and Topological Methods in the Study of Nonlinear Phenomena".

is not attained in general. The asymptotic functional

$$J^\infty(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + V^\infty u^2\right) dx, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

attains its infimum

$$\lambda_1^\infty := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}^\infty} J^\infty(u) > 0 \tag{1.5}$$

at a positive radial function w_1^∞ (see Berestycki and Lions [3] and Byeon *et al.* [4]). Moreover, such minimizer is unique up to translations (see Kwong [7]). By (1.2) and the translation invariance of J^∞, one can easily see that $\widetilde{\lambda}_1 \le \lambda_1^\infty$, and $\widetilde{\lambda}_1$ is attained if this inequality is strict (see Lions [8, 9]).

In this paper we give sufficient conditions on the weight V and the exponent p for the existence of a ground state of (1.1). Precisely, we shall prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (1.2) and $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Then $-\infty < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_1^\infty$. If*

$$\lambda_1 < \left(\frac{p^-}{p^\infty}\right)^{2/p^\infty} \lambda_1^\infty, \tag{1.6}$$

then λ_1 is attained at a positive minimizer $w_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In particular, there exists a positive ground state if $p^\infty = p^-$ and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_1^\infty$ (hence our result is consistent with the constant exponent case). Hypothesis (1.6) is *global*, but it can be assured by making convenient *local* assumptions on p, for instance when $p(x)$ slowly approaches p^∞ from below as $|x| \to \infty$:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (1.2) and $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Let $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$ be a mapping such that $\psi(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$ and the function $e^{-\psi(|\cdot|)} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and let $R > 0$. Then there exists $a > 0$ such that, if*

$$p(x) \le p^\infty - \frac{a}{\psi(|x|)}, \quad |x| \ge R, \tag{1.7}$$

then λ_1 is attained.

For example, given $R > 0$, we can find $a > 0$ such that, if

$$p(x) \le p^\infty - a e^{-|x|}, \quad |x| \ge R,$$

then λ_1 is attained. We note that the only assumption on V in Theorem 1.2 is (1.2).

Finally, we address the problem of *symmetry* of minimizers. Apparently, the best we can achieve under the assumption of radial symmetry of the data V and p is axial symmetry of all ground states:

Corollary 1.3. *Assume that $N \ge 3$, $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (1.2) and $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4), and both are radially symmetric in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, assume that (1.6) holds. Then, for every minimizer w there exist a line L though 0 and a function $\widetilde{w} : L \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$w(x) = \widetilde{w}(P_L(x), |x - P_L(x)|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $P_L : \mathbb{R}^N \to L$ denotes the projection onto L.

As in the constant exponent case, the main difficulty is the lack of compactness inherent in this problem, which originates from the invariance of $\mathbb{R}^N$ under the action of the noncompact group of translations, and manifests itself in the noncompactness of the embedding of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This in turn implies that the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is not weakly closed in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and that $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ does not satisfy the Palais-Smale compactness condition (shortly $(\mathrm{PS})_c$) at all energy levels $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We will use the concentration compactness principle of Lions (see [8–10]), expressed as a suitable profile decomposition for (PS) sequences of $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$, to overcome these difficulties. Developing this argument, we will also prove an extension to the variable exponent case of the compactness lemma of Benci and Cerami [2, Lemma 3.1].

The paper has the following structure: in Section 2 we introduce the mathematical background and establish some technical lemmas; in Section 3 we prove that $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ holds for all c below a threshold level; and in Section 4 we deliver the proofs of our main results.

2. Preliminaries

We consider the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, endowed with the norm defined by

$$\|u\|^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + V^\infty |u(x)|^2 \Big) dx, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which is equivalent to the standard norm. Clearly we have $J \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$ with

$$\langle J'(u), v\rangle = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V(x)\, uv\big)\, dx, \quad u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

We recall some basic features from the theory of variable exponent Lebesgue space, referring the reader to the book of Diening *et al.* [5] for a detailed account on this subject. Let $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). The space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ contains all the measurable functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\rho(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

This is a reflexive Banach space under the following modified Luxemburg norm, introduced by Franzina and Lindqvist [6]:

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} := \inf \Big\{ \gamma > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\gamma} \right|^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} \le 1 \Big\}, \quad u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The following relation will be widely used in our study:

$$p^- \min\left\{ \|u\|^{p^+}_{p(\cdot)}, \|u\|^{p^-}_{p(\cdot)} \right\} \le \rho(u) \le p^+ \max\left\{ \|u\|^{p^+}_{p(\cdot)}, \|u\|^{p^-}_{p(\cdot)} \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

It can be proved noting that, for all $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}} \right|^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Analogously, for all $q > 1$ we endow the constant exponent Lebesgue space $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_q^q := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^q \frac{dx}{q}, \quad u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

By (1.3) and [5, Theorem 3.3.11], the embedding $L^{p^-}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{p^-}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous. So, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, also $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous. As a consequence, the functional $I : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ is well defined and continuous. Moreover, reasoning as in [6, Lemma A.1], we see that $I \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\})$ with

$$\langle I'(u), v\rangle = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u(x)}{I(u)} \right|^{p(x)-2} \frac{u(x)}{I(u)}\, v(x)\, dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u(x)}{I(u)} \right|^{p(x)} dx}, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\},\ v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In particular, as 1 is a regular value of I, $\mathcal{M}$ turns out to be a C^1 Hilbert manifold. By the Lagrange multiplier rule, $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is a critical point of $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ if and only if there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$J'(u) = \mu I'(u) \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

that is (recalling that $I(u) = 1$), if and only if u is a (weak) solution of (1.1) with $\lambda = \mu/2$. Moreover, testing (1.1) with u yields $J(u) = \lambda$.

We set

$$\sigma(u) := \max\{\|u\|^{p^- - 1}_{p(\cdot)}, \|u\|^{p^+ - 1}_{p(\cdot)}\}, \quad u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and we prove the following properties that will be used later:

Lemma 2.1. *For all $u, v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have*

(*i*) $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)-1} |v(x)|\, dx \le p^+ \sigma(u)\, \|v\|_{p(\cdot)}$;

(*ii*) $|\rho(u) - \rho(v)| \le (p^+)^2 (\sigma(u) + \sigma(v))\, \|u - v\|_{p(\cdot)}$.

Proof. We prove (*i*). Taking $a = \big(|u(x)|/\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}\big)^{p(x)-1}$, $b = |v(x)|/\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}$, $q = p(x)$ in the well-known Young's inequality

$$ab \le \Big(1 - \frac{1}{q}\Big) a^{q/(q-1)} + \frac{1}{q}\, b^q \quad a, b \ge 0,\ q > 1, \tag{2.3}$$

and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^N$ gives (note that $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p(x)-1} \le \sigma(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sigma(u)\,\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)-1}\,|v(x)|\,dx &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|\frac{|u(x)|}{\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right|^{p(x)-1} \frac{|v(x)|}{\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}} dx \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(1 - \frac{1}{p(x)}\Big) \left|\frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|\frac{v(x)}{\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right|^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} \\
&\le p^+,
\end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from (2.2).

Now we prove (*ii*). Taking $a = |u(x)|$, $b = |v(x)|$, $q = p(x)$ in the elementary inequality

$$|a^q - b^q| \le q(a^{q-1} + b^{q-1})(a - b), \quad a, b \ge 0,\ p > 1,$$

and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^N$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|\rho(u) - \rho(v)| &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big||u(x)|^{p(\cdot)} - |v(x)|^{p(\cdot)}\Big|\,dx \\
&\le p^+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)-1}\big(|u(x)| - |v(x)|\big)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)|^{p(x)-1}\big(|u(x)| - |v(x)|\big)dx\Big) \\
&\le (p^+)^2(\sigma(u) + \sigma(v))\,\|u - v\|_{p(\cdot)},
\end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from (*i*). □

From Lemma 2.1 (*ii*) it follows that, if (u_k), (v_k) are bounded sequences in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|\rho(u_k) - \rho(v_k)| \le C\,\|u_k - v_k\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The autonomous case $V(x) \equiv V^\infty$, $p(x) \equiv p^\infty$ represents a limit case for (1.1). We set

$$\rho^\infty(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p^\infty} dx, \quad \sigma^\infty(u) = \|u\|_{p^\infty}^{p^\infty - 1}, \quad u \in L^{p^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

so Lemma 2.1 gives for all $u, v \in L^{p^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p^\infty - 1}|v(x)|dx \le p^\infty \sigma^\infty(u)\,\|v\|_{p^\infty}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$|\rho^\infty(u) - \rho^\infty(v)| \le (p^\infty)^2(\sigma^\infty(u) + \sigma^\infty(v))\,\|u - v\|_{p^\infty}. \tag{2.5}$$

Morevoer, we have the following asymptotic laws:

Lemma 2.2. *If $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, (y_k) is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $|y_k| \to \infty$, and $u_k = u(\cdot - y_k)$, then*

(*i*) $\rho(u_k) \to \rho^\infty(u)$,
(*ii*) $I(u_k) \to I^\infty(u)$,
(*iii*) $J(u_k) \to J^\infty(u)$.

Proof. We prove (*i*). For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the change of variable $z = x - y_k$ gives

$$\rho(u_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_k(x)|^{p(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(z)|^{p(z+y_k)} dz.$$

Since $p(\cdot + y_k) \to p^\infty$ by (1.4) and $|u(z)|^{p(z+y_k)} \le |u(z)|^{p^-} + |u(z)|^{p^+}$, the last integral converges to $\rho^\infty(u)$ by the dominated convergence theorem.

We prove (*ii*). As in the proof of (*i*), for all $\gamma > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|\frac{u_k(x)}{\gamma}\right|^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|\frac{u(z)}{\gamma}\right|^{p^\infty} \frac{dz}{p^\infty} = \Big(\frac{\|u\|_{p^\infty}}{\gamma}\Big)^{p^\infty}. \tag{2.6}$$

If $\|u_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \not\to \|u\|_{p^\infty}$, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, on a renumbered subsequence, either $\|u_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \le \|u\|_{p^\infty} - \varepsilon_0$ or $\|u_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \ge \|u\|_{p^\infty} + \varepsilon_0$. In the former case, $\|u\|_{p^\infty} \ge \varepsilon_0$ and, taking ε_0 smaller if necessary, we may assume that this inequality is strict. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(\frac{|u_k(x)|}{\|u\|_{p^\infty} - \varepsilon_0}\Big)^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(\frac{|u_k(x)|}{\|u_k\|_{p(\cdot)}}\Big)^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} = 1.$$

Passing to the limit as $k \to \infty$, (2.6) implies

$$\Big(\frac{\|u\|_{p^\infty}}{\|u\|_{p^\infty} - \varepsilon_0}\Big)^{p^\infty} \le 1,$$

a contradiction. The latter case leads to a similar contradiction.

Finally we prove *(iii)*. We have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$J(u_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(|\nabla u_k|^2 + V(x)\, u_k^2\big)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(|\nabla u|^2 + V(z + y_k)\, u^2\big)\, dz,$$

where $z = x - y_k$. Since $V(\cdot + y_k) \to V^\infty$ by (1.2) and $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the last integral converges to $J^\infty(u)$ by the dominated convergence theorem. □

3. A compactness result

In this section we prove that $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ whenever $c \in \mathbb{R}$ lies below a certain threshold level. The main technical tool that we will use for handling the convergence matters is the following profile decomposition of Solimini [12] for bounded sequences in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (u_k) be a bounded sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and assume that there is a constant $\delta > 0$ such that, if $u_k(\cdot + y_k) \rightharpoonup w \neq 0$ on a renumbered subsequence for some sequence (y_k) in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $|y_k| \to \infty$, then $\|w\| \ge \delta$. Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $w^{(1)}, \dots w^{(n)} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and sequences $(y_k^{(1)}), \dots (y_k^{(n)})$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $y_k^{(1)} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $w^{(n)} \neq 0$ for all $2 \le n \le m$, such that, on a renumbered subsequence,*

(i) $u_k(\cdot + y_k^{(n)}) \rightharpoonup w^{(n)}$;

(ii) $|y_k^{(n)} - y_k^{(l)}| \to \infty$ *for all* $n \neq l$;

(iii) $\displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{m} \|w^{(n)}\|^2 \le \liminf_k \|u_k\|^2$;

(iv) $\displaystyle u_k - \sum_{n=1}^{m} w^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}) \to 0$ *in* $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *for all* $q \in (2, 2^*)$.

Since $y_k^{(1)} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by *(ii)* we have $|y_k^{(n)}| \to \infty$ for all $2 \le n \le m$. Moreover, by *(iv)*, (1.3) and [5, Theorem 3.3.11], we also have

$$u_k - \sum_{n=1}^{m} w^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}) \to 0 \quad \text{in } L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.1}$$

Next we show that the sublevel sets of $\widetilde{J}$ are bounded. Set

$$\widetilde{J}^a = \big\{u \in \mathcal{M} : \widetilde{J}(u) \le a\big\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\widetilde{J}^a$ is bounded.*

Proof. By (1.2), we can find $R > 0$ such that $|V(x) - V^\infty| < V^\infty/2$ for all $|x| > R$. So, $V^\infty/2 - V \le 0$ outside the ball $B_R(0)$. For all $u \in \widetilde{J}^a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|u\|^2}{2} &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{V^\infty}{2} u^2\Big) dx = a + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(\frac{V^\infty}{2} - V(x)\Big) u^2 dx \\ &\le a + \int_{B_R(0)} \Big(\frac{V^\infty}{2} - V(x)\Big) u^2 dx \le a + \Big(\frac{V^\infty}{2} + \|V\|_\infty\Big) \int_{B_R(0)} u^2 dx.\end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{M}$, and $L^{p(\cdot)}(B_R(0))$ is continuously embedded in $L^2(B_R(0))$ (see [5, Corollary 3.3.4]), the last integral is bounded. So, $\widetilde{J}^a$ is bounded. □

Now, let (u_k) be a $(\mathrm{PS})_c$-sequence for $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, namely $\widetilde{J}(u_k) \to c$ and $\widetilde{J}'(u_k) \to 0$. Then, there exists a sequence (μ_k) in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $J'(u_k) - \mu_k I'(u_k) \to 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So we have

$$-\Delta u_k + V(x)u_k = \frac{\mu_k}{2\rho(u_k)}|u_k|^{p(x)-2}u_k + \mathrm{o}(1). \tag{3.2}$$

Testing (3.2) with u_k, we easily get $\mu_k/2 \to c$. Besides, since $u_k \in \mathcal{M}$, by (1.3) we have $p^- \le \rho(u_k) \le p^+$, whence, on a renumbered subsequence, $\rho(u_k) \to \rho_0$ for some $\rho_0 \in [p^-, p^+]$.

We prove some technical properties of (u_k):

Lemma 3.3. *Let (u_k) be as above and $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$:*

(i) if $u_k \rightharpoonup w$ on a renumbered subsequence, then

$$-\Delta w + V(x)w = \frac{c}{\rho_0}|w|^{p(x)-2}w;$$

(ii) if $u_k(\cdot + y_k) \rightharpoonup w$ on a renumbered subsequence for some sequence (y_k) in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $|y_k| \to \infty$, then

$$\Delta w + V^\infty w = \frac{c}{\rho_0}|w|^{p^\infty-2}w.$$

Proof. We prove (*i*). By the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\nabla w \cdot \nabla v + V(x)wv\big)dx = \frac{c}{\rho_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{p(x)-2}wv dx, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.3}$$

We have $\operatorname{supp} v \subset \Omega$ for some bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Testing (3.2) with v gives

$$\int_\Omega \big(\nabla u_k \cdot \nabla v + V(x)u_k v\big)dx = \frac{\mu_k}{2\rho(u_k)}\int_\Omega |u_k|^{p(x)-2}u_k v dx + \mathrm{o}(1).$$

Since $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_k \rightharpoonup w$, we have

$$\int_\Omega \big(\nabla u_k \cdot \nabla v + V(x)u_k v\big)dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\nabla w \cdot \nabla v + V(x)wv\big)dx.$$

Besides, $\mu_k/(2\rho(u_k)) \to c/\rho_0$. Finally, by compactness of the embedding $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^+}(\Omega)$, on a renumbered subsequence we have $u_k \to w$ in $L^{p^+}(\Omega)$ and $u_k(x) \to w(x)$ a.e. in Ω. By (1.3), (2.3) we have a.e. in Ω

$$|u_k|^{p(x)-1}|v| \le \big(1 + |u_k|^{p^+-1}\big)|v| \le |v| + \Big(1 - \frac{1}{p^+}\Big)|u_k|^{p^+} + \frac{1}{p^+}|v|^{p^+},$$

hence by the generalized dominated convergence theorem

$$\int_\Omega |u_k|^{p(x)-2}u_k w dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{p(x)-2}wv dx,$$

which proves (*i*).

We prove (*ii*). As above, we only need to show that for all $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\nabla w \cdot \nabla v + V^\infty wv\big)dx = \frac{c}{\rho_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{p^\infty-2}wv dx. \tag{3.4}$$

We have $\operatorname{supp} v \subset \Omega$ for some bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Testing (3.2) with $v(\cdot - y_k)$ and making the change of variable $z = x - y_k$ gives for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\nabla \widetilde{u}_k \cdot \nabla v + V(z + y_k)\,\widetilde{u}_k v\big)dz = \frac{\mu_k}{2\rho(u_k)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u}_k|^{p(z+y_k)-2}\widetilde{u}_k v dz + \mathrm{o}(1),$$

where $\widetilde{u}_k = u_k(\cdot + y_k)$. Since $\widetilde{u}_k \rightharpoonup w$ and $V(\cdot + y_k) \to V^\infty$ uniformly on Ω, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\nabla \widetilde{u}_k \cdot \nabla v + V(z + y_k)\,\widetilde{u}_k v\big)dz \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\nabla w \cdot \nabla v + V^\infty wv\big)dx.$$

Besides, $\mu_k/(2\rho(u_k)) \to c/\rho_0$. Finally, exploiting again (1.3), (2.3) as in the proof of (*i*) we have, on a renumbered subsequence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u}_k|^{p(z+y_k)-2}\widetilde{u}_k v dz \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{p^\infty-2}wv dx,$$

which proves (*ii*). □

The main result of this section is the following extension to the variable exponent case of the compactness lemma of Benci and Cerami [2, Lemma 3.1].

Proposition 3.4. *Let (u_k) be a $(\mathrm{PS})_c$-sequence for $\widetilde{J}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $w^{(1)}, \dots w^{(n)} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and sequences $(y_k^{(1)}), \dots (y_k^{(n)})$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $y_k^{(1)} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $w^{(n)} \neq 0$ for all $2 \leq n \leq m$, such that, on a renumbered subsequence, $\rho(u_k) \to \rho_0$ for some $\rho_0 \in [p^-, p^+]$, and*

(i) $u_k(\cdot + y_k^{(n)}) \rightharpoonup w^{(n)}$;
(ii) $|y_k^{(n)} - y_k^{(l)}| \to \infty$ *for all* $n \neq l$;
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{m} \|w^{(n)}\|^2 \leq \liminf_k \|u_k\|^2$;
(iv) $-\Delta w^{(1)} + V(x) w^{(1)} = c/\rho_0 |w^{(1)}|^{p(x)-2} w^{(1)}$;
(v) $-\Delta w^{(n)} + V^\infty w^{(n)} = c/\rho_0 |w^{(1)}|^{p^\infty - 2} w^{(n)}$, $2 \leq n \leq m$;
(vi) $J(w^{(1)}) = c/\rho_0 \rho(w^{(1)})$;
(vii) $J^\infty(w^{(n)}) = c/\rho_0 \rho^\infty(w^{(n)})$, $2 \leq n \leq m$;
$(viii)$ $\rho(w^{(1)}) + \sum_{n=2}^{m} \rho^\infty(w^{(n)}) = \rho_0$;
(ix) $J(w^{(1)}) + \sum_{n=2}^{m} J^\infty(w^{(n)}) = c$;
(x) $u_k - \sum_{n=1}^{m} w^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}) \to 0$ *in* $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the sequence (u_k) is bounded. Passing to a subsequence, we have $\rho(u_k) \to \rho_0$. We shall apply Proposition 3.1. To this end, set

$$\delta = \Big(\frac{p^- (\lambda_1^\infty)^{\frac{p^\infty}{2}}}{p^\infty c} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p^\infty - 2}} > 0$$

(in particular, $c > 0$). If $u_k(\cdot + y_k) \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, on a renumbered subsequence, for some sequence (y_k) in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $|y_k| \to \infty$ and some $w \neq 0$, then by Lemma 3.3 (ii) and the definition of λ_1^∞ we have, testing with w,

$$\|w\|^2 = \frac{p^\infty c}{\rho_0} \|w\|_{p^\infty}^{p^\infty} \leq \frac{p^\infty c}{p^-} (\lambda_1^\infty)^{-\frac{p^\infty}{2}} \|w\|^{p^\infty},$$

hence $\|w\| \geq \delta$. Then, by Proposition 3.1 there exist $w^{(n)}$, $(y_k^{(n)})$ $(1 \leq n \leq m)$ and a renumbered subsequence (u_k) satisfying (i)-(iii) and

$$u_k - \sum_{n=1}^{m} w^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}) \to 0 \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N),\ 2 < q < 2^*.$$

By (3.1), the convergence above also holds in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. From 3.4, (ii) and Lemma 3.3 we deduce (iv) and (v). Further, testing (iv) with $w^{(1)}$ and (v) with $w^{(n)}$ yields (vi) and (vii), respectively.

We prove now $(viii)$. Set for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$w_k = \sum_{n=1}^{m} w^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}).$$

Since $\|u_k - w_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \to 0$ by (3.1) and $\|u_k\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$, $\|w_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \to 1$. Since $\rho(u_k) \to \rho_0$, then $\rho(w_k) \to \rho_0$ by Lemma 2.1 (ii). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $\widetilde{w}^{(1)} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|w^{(1)} - \widetilde{w}^{(1)}\|_{p(\cdot)} < \varepsilon$, and since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $L^{p^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for all $2 \leq n \leq m$ there exists $\widetilde{w}^{(n)} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|w^{(n)} - \widetilde{w}^{(n)}\|_{p^\infty} < \varepsilon$. Let

$$\widetilde{w}_k = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \widetilde{w}^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}).$$

By (*ii*) and Lemma 2.2 (*ii*) we have

$$\|w_k - \widetilde{w}_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \le \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\| w^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}) - \widetilde{w}^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}) \right\|_{p(\cdot)}$$
$$\to \left\| w^{(1)} - \widetilde{w}^{(1)} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} + \sum_{n=2}^{m} \left\| w^{(n)} - \widetilde{w}^{(n)} \right\|_{p^\infty} \le m\varepsilon.$$

So,

$$\limsup_k \|w_k - \widetilde{w}_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \le m\varepsilon.$$

Since $\|w_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \to 1$ and $\rho(w_k) \to \rho_0$, then by Lemma 2.1 (*ii*) we can find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_k |\rho(\widetilde{w}_k) - \rho_0| \le C\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, for all sufficiently large k, the (compact) supports of $\widetilde{w}^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)})$ are pairwise disjoint by (*ii*) and hence

$$\rho(\widetilde{w}_k) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \rho(\widetilde{w}^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)})) \to \rho(\widetilde{w}^{(1)}) + \sum_{n=2}^{m} \rho^\infty(\widetilde{w}^{(n)})$$

by (*ii*) and Lemma 2.2 (*i*). So

$$\left| \rho(\widetilde{w}^{(1)}) + \sum_{n=2}^{m} \rho^\infty(\widetilde{w}^{(n)}) - \rho_0 \right| \le C\varepsilon.$$

By Lemmas 2.1 (*ii*) and (2.5) we have

$$\left| \rho(w^{(1)}) - \rho(\widetilde{w}^{(1)}) \right| \le C\varepsilon, \qquad \left| \rho^\infty(w^{(n)}) - \rho^\infty(\widetilde{w}^{(n)}) \right| \le C\varepsilon, \quad 2 \le n \le m$$

by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, (*viii*) follows.

Adding (*vi*) and (*vii*) and substituting (*viii*), we get (*ix*).

We conclude by proving (*x*). Set $v_k = u_k - w_k$ and $\widetilde{u}_k = u_k - w^{(1)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that both $(\widetilde{u}_k)$ and (v_k) are bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (3.2), (*iv*) and (*v*) we have

$$-\Delta v_k + V^\infty v_k = (V^\infty - V(x))\widetilde{u}_k + \frac{\mu_k}{2\rho(u_k)} |u_k|^{p(x)-2} u_k$$
$$- \frac{c}{\rho_0} \Big(|w^{(1)}|^{p(x)-2} w^{(1)} + \sum_{n=2}^{m} |w^{(n)}(x - y_k^{(n)})|^{p^\infty - 2} w^{(n)}(c - y_k^{(n)}) \Big) + \eta_k,$$

for a sequence (η_k) in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\eta_k \to 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Testing with v_k and using Lemma 2.1 (*i*) and (2.4) gives

$$\|v_k\|^2 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(V(x) - V^\infty)\widetilde{u}_k v_k| \, dx + C\big((\sigma(u_k) + 1) \|v_k\|_{p(\cdot)} + \|v_k\|_{p^\infty} \big) + \mathrm{o}(\|v_k\|).$$

Since $\|u_k\|$ is bounded, so are $\|\widetilde{u}_k\|$, $\sigma(u_k)$, and $\|v_k\|$. If $\|v_k\| \not\to 0$, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, on a renumbered subsequence, $\|v_k\| \ge \varepsilon_0$. By (1.2), there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(0)^c} |(V(x) - V^\infty)\widetilde{u}_k v_k| \, dx \le 2 \sup_{x \in B_R(0)^c} |V(x) - V^\infty| \, \|\widetilde{u}_k\|_2 \, \|v_k\|_2 \le \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2}.$$

Then, from the equation above, Hölder inequality, Proposition 3.1 (*iv*) and (3.1) we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{2} \le C\big(\|v_k\|_{L^2(B_R(0))} + \|v_k\|_{p^\infty} + \|v_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \big) + \mathrm{o}(1) \to 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus, (*x*) is proved. □

Now we prove that $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ whenever c lies below a threshold level:

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (1.2) and $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Then $\widetilde{J}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ for all*

$$c < \left(\frac{p^-}{p^\infty} \right)^{2/p^\infty} \lambda_1^\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Let c satisfy condition (3.5) and let $u_k \in \mathcal{M}$ be a $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ sequence for $\widetilde{J}$. Then u_k admits a renumbered subsequence that satisfies the conclusions of Proposition 3.4. Let us set

$$t_1 = \rho(w^{(1)})/\rho_0, \qquad t_n = \rho^\infty(w^{(n)})/\rho_0, \quad \text{for } n = 2, \dots, m.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} t_n = 1 \tag{3.6}$$

by *(viii)* of Proposition 3.4, so each $t_n \in [0,1]$, and $t_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 2$. For $n = 2, \dots, m$,

$$c\, t_n = J^\infty(w^{(n)}) \geq \lambda_1^\infty \left\|w^{(n)}\right\|_{p^\infty}^2 = \lambda_1^\infty \left(\frac{\rho_0\, t_n}{p^\infty}\right)^{2/p^\infty} \geq \lambda_1^\infty \left(\frac{p^-\, t_n}{p^\infty}\right)^{2/p^\infty}$$

by *(vii)* of Proposition 3.4 and (1.5), so

$$t_n \geq \left[\frac{\lambda_1^\infty}{c}\left(\frac{p^-}{p^\infty}\right)^{2/p^\infty}\right]^{p^\infty/(p^\infty-2)} > 1$$

by (3.5). Then (3.6) implies $m = 1$ and hence $u_k \to w^{(1)}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by (x) of Proposition 3.4. □

4. Proofs of main theorems

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Since the sublevel sets of $\widetilde{J}$ are bounded by Lemma 3.2 and $\widetilde{J}$ is clearly bounded on bounded sets, $\lambda_1 > -\infty$. To see that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^\infty$, let w_1^∞ be the minimizer of J^∞ on $\mathcal{M}^\infty$ mentioned in the introduction, $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $|y_k| \to \infty$, and $w_k = w_1^\infty(\cdot - y_k)$. Since $w_k / \|w_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\lambda_1 \leq J\Big(\frac{w_k}{\|w_k\|_{p(\cdot)}}\Big) = \frac{J(w_k)}{\|w_k\|_{p(\cdot)}^2} \to \frac{J^\infty(w_1^\infty)}{\|w_1^\infty\|_{p^\infty}^2} = \lambda_1^\infty$$

by *(ii)* and *(iii)* of Lemma 2.2, so $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^\infty$. Assume now that (1.6) holds. Since $\widetilde{J}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level λ_1 by Theorem 3.5, it has a minimizer w_1 by a standard argument. Then $|w_1|$ is a minimizer too and hence we may assume that $w_1 \geq 0$. Since $w_1 \neq 0$, then $w_1 > 0$ by the strong maximum principle. Observe that a solution $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of (1.1) satisfies $-\Delta u = g(x,u)$ and

$$\left|\frac{g(x,u)}{u}\right| \leq C + C|u|^{p(x)-2} \leq C + C|u|^{\frac{4}{N-2}}, \quad \text{for some } C = C(V,N,p^+) > 0.$$

Then by standard regularity theory, u is of class $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, see e.g. [13, Appendix B]. □

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Since $e^{-\psi(|x|)} / \left\|e^{-\psi(|x|)}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\lambda_1 \leq J\Big(\frac{e^{-\psi(|x|)}}{\left\|e^{-\psi(|x|)}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}}\Big) = \frac{J(e^{-\psi(|x|)})}{\left\|e^{-\psi(|x|)}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^2}. \tag{4.1}$$

By virtue of condition (1.7),

$$\rho(e^{-\psi(|x|)}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\psi(|x|)\,p(x)}\,dx \geq e^a \int_{B_R(0)^c} e^{-p^\infty \psi(|x|)}\,dx. \tag{4.2}$$

It follows from (4.1), (2.1) and (4.2) that (1.6) holds if $a > 0$ is sufficiently large. □

4.3. **Proof of Corollary 1.3.** If $N \geq 3$, V and p are radially symmetric in $\mathbb{R}^N$, we can get some symmetry properties of minimizers by applying the results of Mariş [11]. We can equivalently define

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \frac{dx}{p(x)} = 1\right\}.$$

For any hyperplane Π through 0, splitting $\mathbb{R}^N$ in two half-spaces Π^+ and Π^-, and all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we define functions $u_{\Pi^+}, u_{\Pi^-} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$u_{\Pi^+}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & \text{if } x \in \Pi^+ \cup \Pi \\ u(2P_\Pi(x) - x), & \text{if } x \in \Pi^- \end{cases},$$

$$u_{\Pi^-}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & \text{if } x \in \Pi^- \cup \Pi \\ u(2P_\Pi(x) - x), & \text{if } x \in \Pi^+, \end{cases}$$

where $P_{(\cdot)}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^N$ to an affine submanifold $(\cdot)$. Clearly $u_{\Pi^\pm} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so hypothesis **A1** of [11] is satisfied. Since u is of class $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so hypothesis **A2** holds as well. By [11, Theorem 1] we learn that, for every minimizer w of $\tilde{J}$, there exists a line L through 0 such that $w(x) = \tilde{w}(P_L(x), |x - P_L(x)|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, for a convenient function $\tilde{w} : L \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$. □

References

[1] A. Bahri and P.-L. Lions, On the existence of a positive solution of semilinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **14** (1997), 365–413. 1

[2] V. Benci, G. Cerami, Positive solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems in exterior domains, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **99** (1987), 283–300. 2, 7

[3] H. Berestycki, P.-L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **82** (1983), 313–345. 2

[4] J. Byeon, L. Jeanjean, M. Mariş, Symmetry and monotonicity of least energy solutions, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **36** (2009), 481–492. 2

[5] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Růžička, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, x+509 pp. (2011). 3, 5

[6] G. Franzina, P. Lindqvist, An eigenvalue problem with variable exponents, *Nonlinear Anal.* **85** (2013), 1–16. 3

[7] M.K. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **105** (1989), 243–266. 2

[8] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case I, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1** (1984), 109–145. 2

[9] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case II, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1** (1984), 223–283. 2

[10] P.-L. Lions, Solutions of Hartree-Fock equations for Coulomb systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **109** (1987), 33–97. 2

[11] M. Mariş, On the symmetry of minimizers, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **192** (2009), 311–330. 9, 10

[12] S. Solimini, A note on compactness-type properties with respect to Lorentz norms of bounded subsets of a Sobolev space, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **12** (1995), 319–337. 5

[13] M. Struwe, Variational methods. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, xx+302 pp. (2008). 9

Dipartimento di Informatica
Università degli Studi di Verona
Cá Vignal II
Strada Le Grazie I-37134 Verona, Italy
E-mail address: antonio.iannizzotto@univr.it
E-mail address: marco.squassina@univr.it

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology
150 W University Blvd, Melbourne, FL 32901, USA
E-mail address: kperera@fit.edu